08/250,715



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUM | ABER FILING D | ATE | FIRST NAM | ED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|--|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 08/750,7 | 15 03/19/ | 97 | EIGEN | V | . M F | °60752US0 |
| | | | | | | EXAMINER |
| 1000000 | L BETOE HOLK | | MM41/0819 | | | |
| | I PRICE HOLM SIONAL LIMIT | | IABILITY CO | | NUMARYUNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | NTH STREET | | | | | 11 |
| WASHINGT | ON DC 20004 | ŀ | | | 2856 | |
| | | | | | DATE MAILED: | 08/19/98 |
| | nunication from the exa IER OF PATENTS AN | | charge of your application. EMARKS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| This applic | cation has been exami | ned | Responsive to commu | nication filed on | 11/48 | This action is made final. |
| | | | his action is set to expire nse will cause the application | | | om the date of this letter. |
| Part I THE F | OLLOWING ATTACH | MENT(S |) ARE PART OF THIS ACT | ION: | | |
| 3. 🔲 Not | ice of References Cite ice of Art Cited by App rmation on How to Eff | licant, P | | _ | | atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. t Application, PTO-152. |
| 1. Claims | | | | | | are pending in the application. |
| 2. Claims | . | | | | | have been cancelled. |
| 3. Claims | · | | | | | _ are allowed. |
| 4 X Claims | | 1- | 32 | | | |
| , | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6. L. Claims | | | | ar | e subject to restriction | on or election requirement. |
| 7. 🔲 This ar | oplication has been file | d with int | formal drawings under 37 C | F.R. 1.85 which are a | acceptable for exam | ination purposes. |
| 8. Formal | drawings are required | l in respo | onse to this Office action. | | | |
| | | - | nave been received on(see explanation or Notice of | of Draftsman's Patent | | F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948). |
| | | | sheet(s) of drawings, filed ominer (see explanation). | n | . has (have) been | approved by the |
| 11. The pro | posed drawing correc | tion, filed | l, | nas been approv | ed; disapproved | (see explanation). |
| 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on | | | | | | |
| | | | n condition for allowance ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 | | rs, prosecution as to | the merits is closed in |
| 14. Other | | | | | | |

Serial Number: 08/750,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. The amendment filed June 1, 1998 has been entered.

- 2. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2856.
- 3. Claims 33-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not understood from page 6, lines 2-14 or elsewhere in the disclosure how the generated signal defines the volume element, determines the time to transfer the volume element, and controls the transfer as set forth in claim 1. How this is done does not appear to be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is unclear if applicant is relying on PCT/EP94/00117 for this.
- 4. To be in better form in claim 33, line 5 "said" should be -- the --. In line 7 "to" should be -- to the --. In line 9 -- -- another environment -- -- is misspelled. In line 10 --- component --- is. In line 11 -- environment --- is. In claim 34, line 3 --- apertures --- is misspelled. In line 4 "by" should be --- by a ---. In line 5 "to" should be --- to the ---. In claim 37, line 2 "said wall" should be --- the wall --. In claim 38, line 1 "said" should be -- an -- and "the" should be -- an --. In claim 39, line 1 " the " should be deleted. Correction is required.

Serial Number: 08/750,715

Art Unit: 2856

Claims 36, 38-41, 43, 44, 48-52, 54-59, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second 5. paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claiming of alternatives as in claim 36 is unclear. It should be written in Markush type language. Limitations such as "and/or" as in claim 38, line 3 and claim 39, line 5 are unclear. It is unclear what is meant by "is in electrically contacts" in line 5 of claim 38. In claim 39, line 5 "the other environment receptor compartment" should be -- a receptor compartment in the other environment ---. It is unclear what is meant by "claims 2" in claim 43, line 1; "claim 44" in claim 44, line 1, "and " is a which " in claim 48, line 1. Claim 48 also is unclear because the alternative claiming therein is confusing. Likewise for claim 49 which is also unclear because it is unknown what is meant by "claim 336" in line 1. Claims 51 and 52 are unclear because of the alternative claiming. Likewise claims 54-56. Claim 55 is also unknown because it is unclear what is meant by 'claim 55" in line 1. Likewise it is unclear what is meant by "claim 57" in claim a 57, line 1, by "claim 2" in claim 58, line 1; or claim 59" in claim 59, line 1. Claims 58 and 59 are also unclear since dependent claims but claiming a device when base claims were directed to a method. It is unclear what is meant by "preferably" in claim 59, line 6. The alternative claiming in 63 is unclear.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eigen et al. 6.

Note specially the abstract and the first two text paragraphs on pages 5740 and 5741. Applicants statement that the claims as amended overcome all rejections was noted but since at Serial Number: 08/750,715 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

least claim 33 appears broader than claim 1 and thus Eigen et al would appear to still anticipate claim 33.

7. Claims 33-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al,
Ashkin et al, North, Jr., Gohde et al., or Weber et al.

The reasons are substantially equivalent to those given in paragraph 13 in the prior Office actions since any differences appear to be known or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the sample handling and analysis arts since similar such techniques are known therein.

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed June 1, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants statement that the claims as amended overcome the rejections have been noted but since essentially claiming the same invention the claims appear to be rejectable at least under 35 U.S.C. 112(1), 102, and 103 for reasons substantially equivalent to those set first in the last office action.
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Noland/ds 08/17/98

Serial Number: 0<u>8175</u>2, 7/5

Final Page

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner

rodination in A

Art Unit 2856

Hom hel